

Table S1: The qPCR analysis details

		<b>Universal</b>	<b>Methanobrevibacter genus</b>	<b>Human b-actin</b>
Primers	Forward	TCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGT	TGGGAAACTGGGGATAATACTG	TCACCCACACTGTGCCATCTACGA
	Reverse	GGACTACCAGGGTATCTAACCTGTT	AATGAAAAGCCATCCCGTTAAG	CAGCGGAACCGCTATTGCCAATGG
Assay conditions	PCR product (bp)	466	282	259
	MgCl <sub>2</sub> (mM)	3	3	3
	Detection temp.(°C)	82	86	88
	Annealing temp (°C)	50	54	56
	amount of template (ng)	0.5	25	25
Average detection	Standard	<i>B. Longum</i> 16S gene	<i>M. smithii</i> 16S gene	Human genomic DNA
	CT value of the 10 <sup>7</sup> standard	13.01	15.5	17.67
	RSq	0.998	0.998	0.998
	Slope	-3.704	-3.499	-3.465
	Efficiency %	86.44	93.1	94.82
	Reference	Nadkarni et al., 2003	Ufnaret al., 2006	Heid et al., 1996

Table S2: Significant correlations between the bacterial abundances and FSP activity

<b>Phylum/Firmicute class</b>	<b>Genus-like group</b>	<b>Correlation with FSP activity</b>
Bacilli	<i>Gemella</i>	0.30
	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum et rel.</i>	-0.29
	<i>Weissella et rel.</i>	-0.31
Clostridium cluster III	<i>Clostridium thermocellum et rel.</i>	-0.30
Clostridium cluster IV	<i>Anaerotruncus colihominis et rel.</i>	-0.31
	<i>Clostridium leptum et rel.</i>	-0.31
	<i>Eubacterium siraeum et rel.</i>	-0.32
	<i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii et rel.</i>	-0.40
	<i>Ruminococcus callidus et rel.</i>	-0.40
Clostridium cluster IX	<i>Phascolarctobacterium faecium et rel.</i>	-0.37
Clostridium cluster XIVa	<i>Clostridium sphenoides et rel.</i>	0.30
	<i>Dorea formicigenerans et rel.</i>	0.45
	<i>Eubacterium ventriosum et rel.</i>	-0.31
	<i>Lachnobacillus bovis et rel.</i>	-0.34
Uncultured Mollicutes	Uncultured <i>Mollicutes</i>	-0.35

*et rel.* = and relatives